IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI.MISC. APPLICATION NO. 379 OF 2005

IN
CRI.MISC.APPLICATION NO. 378/2005
IN
CRI. APPLICATION NO. 1703 OF 2004

Ms. Jyoti Saigal ..Applicant.

V/s.

Dhiren Mehta & Anr. ..Respondents.

Ms. Jyoti Saigal, the Applicant in person.
Shri A.G.Lalla for Respondent No.1.
Shri A.S.Gadkari, APP for Respondent No.2 -State.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATED : FEBRUARY 16, 2005

PC :-

. Counsel for the applicant states that respondent No.1 has been duly served. Affidavit of service is tendered. That be filed in the registry. Inspite of the service, none appears for respondent No.1. Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that he has no objection for granting this application in terms of prayer clause (a). As the application has not been contested by the Respondent No. 2, who is appearing for the court and as the averments made in application have remained uncontroverted at the instance of

respondent No. 1, the application is granted in terms of prayer clause (a) on condition that the applicant to pay cost to the High Court Legal Service Authority, quantified at Rs. 1000/- in the course of the day, as assured by the counsel for the applicant. On that assurance the restoration application is disposed of by a separate order passed today.

. Ordered accordingly.

.....